IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4071 OF 2004 .

Meghji H. Chheda ... Petitioner.
V/s.
State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents.

Shri S.V. Sadavarte with Anand B. Patil for the petitioner.

Shri A.H. Palekar, AGP for respondent Nos. 1,3 and 5.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.
DATED : 6.3.2006.

P.C. :

. Third time when this matter is called out today, Shri Sadavarte appeared and submitted that Shri Patil, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner has some "personal difficulty" and sought adjournment. What is "personal difficulty" is not explained. It is also not known why learned Counsel could not appear. Merely saying that Counsel has personal difficulty cannot be a sufficient ground for adjournment. No sufficient cause is made out for grant of adjournment. Prayer for adjournment is refused.

. Having gone through the petition, I see no reason to entertain this petition. The view taken by the Court below is a reasonable and possible view. By no stretch of imagination it can be said to be perverse. Petition is, thus, dismissed with no order as to costs.